

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexasdra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/670,511	09/26/2003	Haruhiko Kinoshita	Q77715	8637	
23373 SUGHRUE M	7590 09/05/200 ION PLLC	8	EXAM	UNER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			LUONG,	LUONG, ALAN H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	. ,		2623		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/05/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s)
10/670,511 KINOSHITA, HARUHIKO
Examiner Art Unit
2623

	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Scott Beliveau	2623			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) Scott Beliveau.	(3)Logan J. Brown.				
(2) <u>Alan Luong</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 20 August 2008.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 19</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: Werner.					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Discussed differences between the prior art and the rejection of record and possible clarifying language to the claims. Time did not permit substantive discussions regarding the applied Ulenas reference vis-à-vis claims 20 and 21. Further search and/or consideration shall be conducted pending an official response. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILLE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
	/Scott Beliveau/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 2623			